

Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board Clean Water Act §319(h) Nonpoint Source Grant Program FY 2015 Workplan 15-11

SUMMARY PAGE							
Title of Project	Coordinating Implementation of the Upper Llano Watershed Protection Plan (WPP)						
Project Goals	 To foster coordinated assistance activities for the Upper Llano River Watershed Protection Plan (WPP) stakeholders To conduct regular stakeholder meetings to encourage citizen participation, provide partners with updates on progress, and seek stakeholder input and recommendations on needed activities To support and facilitate the Upper Llano River WPP stakeholders in identifying management measures to improve water quality, developing proposals to acquire funding for implementation of management measures, managing and tracking implementation projects as well as encourage adoption of BMPs Evaluate progress toward achieving milestones established in the WPP Coordinate and conduct water resources and related environmental outreach/education efforts across the watershed 						
Project Tasks	(1) Project Administration; (2) Quality Assurance; (3) Support and Facilitation of WPP Implementation; (4) Outreach, Education and Community Support; (5) Effectiveness Monitoring; (6) Volunteer monitoring						
Measures of Success	 Provide assistance to Upper Llano River WPP Stakeholders Evaluate progress toward achieving milestones Reduction in potential bacterial contamination and nutrient loading for streams from agricultural and urban nonpoint source pollution Increased knowledge of citizens, landowners and agricultural producers of management measures identified in WPP 						
Project Type	Implementation (); Education (); Planning (); Assessment (); Groundwater ()						
Status of Waterbody on 2012 Texas Integrated Report	Segment IDParameter of Impairment or ConcernCategory1415_05 North Llano RiverN/A11415_06 South Llano RiverN/A1						
Project Location	Upper Llano River watershed in Edwards, Kerr, Kimble, Menard, Real, and Sutton Counties						
Key Project Activities	Hire Staff (X); Surface Water Quality Monitoring (X); Technical Assistance (); Education (X); Implementation (X); BMP Effectiveness Monitoring (); Demonstration (); Planning (); Modeling (); Bacterial Source Tracking (); Other ()						
2012 Texas NPS	• Component One –LTGs 2, 3, 5, 6						
Management Program	• Component One – STGs 2D, 3B, 3D, 3F						
Reference	Component Two						
Project Costs	Federal \$204,115 Non-Federal \$143,378 Total \$347,493						
Project Management	 Llano River Field Station, Texas Tech University Texas Water Resources Institute, Texas A&M AgriLife Research 						
Project Period	December 1, 2015 – November 30, 2017						

Part I – Applicant Information

Applicant									
Project Lead	d	Tom Arsuffi, Ph	Tom Arsuffi, PhD						
Title		Director	Director						
Organizatio	n	Llano River Fiel	d Station,	Texas Tecl	h Ur	niversity			
E-mail Add	ress	tom.arsuffi@ttu.	edu						
Street Addr	ess	PO Box 186, 254	4 Red Raio	der Lane					
City	Junction		County	Kimble		State	TX	Zip Code	76849
Telephone l	Number	325.446.2301			Fax	x Number	325.446.	4011	

Co-Applicant	t								
Project Lead		Kevin Wagner, l	PhD						
Title		Associate Direct	or						
Organization		Texas Water Res	sources Ins	stitute, Tex	cas A	&M AgriLi	ife Researc	ch	
E-mail Addres	SS	klwagner@ag.ta	mu.edu						
Street Address	8	2118 TAMU							
City C	ollege Sta	ntion	tion County Brazos State TX Zip Code 77843-2118					77843-2118	
Telephone Number 979.845.2649 Fax Number 979.845.8554									

Project Partners	
Names	Roles & Responsibilities
Texas State Soil and Water Conservation	Provide state oversight and management of all project activities and
Board (TSSWCB)	ensure coordination of activities with related projects and TCEQ.
Llano River Field Station, Texas Tech	Provide project management and oversight; serve as watershed
University (TTU-LRFS)	coordinator, project reporting, provide assistance for stakeholder
	relations; provide coordination of ongoing implementation efforts; assess
	water quality data collected through the Clean Rivers Program in relation
	to achieving load reductions; maintain project website.
Texas Water Resources Institute, Texas	Support and advise TTU-LRFS in execution of WPP implementation;
A&M AgriLife Research (TWRI)	assist with coordination of educational programs with AgriLife; assist
	with grant writing.
South Llano Watershed Alliance (SLWA)	Collaborate as critical local stakeholders and play a lead role in
	communicating with other local stakeholders

Part II – Project Information

Project Type										
Surface Water	X	Grou	ındwater							
	Does the project implement recommendations made in (a) a completed WPP, (b) an adopted									
TMDL, (c) an app	TMDL, (c) an approved I-Plan, (d) a Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan Yes X No									
developed under C	CWA §3	20, (e)) the <i>Texas C</i>	Coastal 1	NPS Pollution Control Program, or (f)	the	168	Λ	110	
Texas Groundwate	er Prote	ction S	Strategy?							
If yes, identify the	docum	ent.	Draft Uppe	er Llano	River Watershed Protection Plan					
If yes, identify the agency/group that						r	20	15		
developed and/or	approve	d the d	locument.	Tech, l	Llano River Field Station	Dev	eloped	20	113	

Watershed Information				
Watershed or Aquifer Name(s)	Hydrologic Unit Code (12 Digit)	Segment ID	Category on 2012 IR	Size (Acres)
North Llano River	12090202	1415_05	1	605,622
South Llano River	12090203	1415_06	1	604,228

Water Quality Impairment

Describe all known causes (i.e., pollutants of concern) and sources (e.g., agricultural, silvicultural) of water quality impairments or concerns from any of the following sources: 2012 Texas Integrated Report, Clean Rivers Program Basin Summary/Highlights Reports, or other documented sources.

The Llano River is a large tributary of the Colorado River, flowing into Lake LBJ. In fact, this clear spring-fed perennial river dilutes dissolved solids and suspended sediment in the Colorado (2010 LCRA Basin Highlights Report), thus improving the water quality in Lake LBJ and other Highland Lakes. The Lake LBJ watershed, and other Highland Lakes for that matter, has experienced growth in recent years. Pressure from this growing population will require rigorous stewardship of the resource to ensure its sustainability (2009 LCRA Basin Highlights Report).

The beneficial uses of the Llano River include general use, aquatic life use, and contact recreation use. According to the 2009 LCRA Basin Highlights Report, routine biological assessments in the South Llano show that fish, insect, and 24hour DO are rated Exceptional and habitat is rated as High. Similar conditions were observed on the main stem of the Llano further downstream at Mason. The contact recreation use was rated as impaired on the Llano River on the 1996 303 (d) List. However, it was subsequently delisted and has remained unimpaired since then. In fact, the 2012 Texas Water Quality Inventory continues to show that the Llano River is fully supporting its uses and no concerns have been identified. According to the May 3, 2010 Llano River Data Report prepared by Texas State University, River Systems Institute and Texas Stream Team, data collected by volunteer water quality monitors between 1996 and 2010 showed 4 exceedences of the temperature standard (of 496 measurements), 1 exceedence of the pH standard (of 487 measurements), no dissolved oxygen standard exceedences (of 491 measurements), 1 exceedence of the conductivity standard (of 429 measurements), 96% of 302 total nitrogen measurements were less than 1 mg/L, and for 222 observations of E. coli levels made since 1996, the geometric mean was 40.2 cfu/100 mL and only 8 exceeded the single sample maximum. However, during water quality assessments for the Upper Llano Watershed Protection Plan, elevated levels have been periodically observed at 2 sites on the North Llano River, particularly during low flow conditions, as well as 1 spring and 1 tributary site. There is a high correlation between low flow and high bacteria. The North Llano River not only has higher wildlife populations, but also very low flow (high concentration of animals at a small water source). Further, a June, 2013 Texas Well Owners Network workshop attended by 40 landowners demonstrated the need for additional watershed education in that 40% of wells exhibited levels of total coliform (general undifferentiated bacteria) and 10% of those were positive for E. coli.

Project Narrative

Problem/Need Statement

The Upper Llano is currently a healthy ecosystem supporting a variety of aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, as well as numerous recreational opportunities. However, a number of threats to this critical resource do exist. According to "Land of the Living Waters: A Characterization of the South Llano River, Its Springs, and Its Watershed" prepared by the Environmental Defense Fund, the primary threat to the South Llano River is loss of spring flow. Subtle changes due to land fragmentation, loss of riparian habitat, and encroachment of juniper species on upland habitats also have the potential to decrease the water quality and quantity of the river. Additionally, there is potential for increased biological pollution and reduction in flows should what are now isolated pockets of invasive plants [giant reed (*Arundo donax*) and elephant ears (*Colocasia esculenta*)] continue to spread.

In 2013, the Upper Llano Watershed Coordination Committee (WCC) was established to provide local input into the Upper Llano Watershed Protection Plan (WPP) development. As part of TSSWCB project 11-04, Development of the Upper Llano River Watershed Protection Plan, land use / land cover data was updated, watershed modeling was conducted, and a WPP drafted to preserve the river and its flows. The development of the WPP was a stakeholder driven process facilitated by TTU-LRFS and TWRI. The WCC includes local business owners, landowners, and municipal and county representatives. With technical assistance from TTU-LRFS, TWRI and other state and federal partners, the WCC identified water quality issues that are of particular importance to the surrounding communities. The WCC also contributed information on land uses and activities that were utilized in identifying potential sources of concern and in guiding the development of the WPP. The WPP identified responsible parties, implementation milestones and estimated financial costs for individual management measures and outreach and education activities. The plan also described the estimated load reductions and load preventions expected from full implementation of all management measures.

The WCC recommended establishing a permanent watershed coordinator in the WPP to facilitate implementation of the Upper Llano River WPP. The WPP states, "In addition to technical and financial assistance required for implementation of management measures and outreach programs, it is recommended that a full-time Watershed Coordinator be employed to facilitate continued progress, throughout the 10-year implementation schedule. This position will oversee project activities, seek additional funding, organize and coordinate regular updates for the LRWP, maintain the website, and coordinate outreach and education efforts in the watershed."

The Upper Llano River WPP will be completed in early 2015 and is expected to be approved by August 2015. It is anticipated that WPP implementation funding through CWA §319(h) nonpoint source grants for on-the-ground best management practices will not be requested until the FY2016 funding cycle, creating a lapse in facilitation of the WPP.

Because of this lapse, this project is necessary to provide for interim facilitation during the approval of the WPP and then coordination of the initiation of WPP implementation. It is imperative that stakeholder engagement continues and that the level of awareness of the WPP among the community to bridge the gap between projects that developed the Upper Llano River WPP and beginning WPP implementation efforts.

The goal of this project is to continue to raise awareness and engage the local watershed communities about the WPP and actions that can be taken to maintain and improve water quality in the Upper Llano watershed.

Project Narrative

General Project Description (Include Project Location Map)

Through a local presence in Upper Llano watershed (see map below), the watershed coordinator will serve as the primary conduit for interaction with landowners, citizens, and entities to facilitate the implementation of the WPP. The watershed coordinator will coordinate meetings with the Upper Llano WCC and stakeholders, to update them, seek their input and recommendations on needed activities, and continue to support and facilitate implementation efforts of the plan. The watershed coordinator will assist the communities, counties, local boards and businesses to acquire resources to enable WPP implementation. The watershed coordinator will work with state and federal agencies, as appropriate, to bring technical and financial assistance to the watershed.



As part of an adaptive management approach embraced by stakeholders, the watershed coordinator will evaluate progress toward achieving milestones established in the WPP and assess water quality data in relation to achieving load reductions.

Coordination of outreach and education efforts by the watershed coordinator will facilitate and support public participation by private individuals and local officials in the implementation of the Upper Llano River WPP. The watershed coordinator will develop publications; such as a semi-annual newsletter, factsheets, website content; to promote and communicate watershed pollution prevention efforts. Additionally, the watershed coordinator will coordinate and conduct educational outreach efforts across the watershed by organizing training programs such as Lone Star Healthy Streams (feral hog, grazing cattle, and horse components) and Texas Watershed Stewards workshop.

Tasks, Objectives and Schedules									
Task 1	Project Administration								
Costs	Federal \$18,000 Non-Federal \$12,000 Total \$30,000								
Objective	To effectively administ	r, coordina	te and monitor al	l work performed	under this p	project including			
	technical and financial	upervision	and preparation of	of status reports.					
Subtask 1.1	TTU-LRFS will prepare								
	QPRs shall document a								
	January, April, July and	October. (PRs shall be dist	ributed to all Proje	ect Partners.				
	Start Date		Month 1	Completion I		Month 24			
Subtask 1.2	TTU-LRFS will perform		•	· ·	ill submit ap	ppropriate			
	Reimbursement Forms	o TSSWCl	B at least quarterly						
	Start Date		Month 1	Completion I		Month 24			
Subtask 1.3	TTU-LRFS will host co								
	to discuss project activi								
	requirements. TTU-LRI			n items needed fol	lowing each	n project coordination			
	meeting and distribute t								
	Start Date		Month 1	Completion I		Month 24			
Subtask 1.4	TTU-LRFS will develop								
	during the project and d	iscusses the	e extent to which	project goals and i	measures of	success have been			
	achieved.								
		Start Date Month 1 Completion Date Month 24							
Deliverables	QPRs in electronic format								
	Reimbursement Formula		•		format				
	 Final Report in ele 	ctronic and	hard copy format	ts					

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedul	es							
Task 2	Quality Assurance								
Costs	Federal	\$3,000	Non-Federal	\$2,000	Total	\$5,000			
Objective	To develop data of	quality objectives	(DQOs) and qual	ity assurance/cont	rol (QA/QC) activ	vities to ensure			
	data of known an	d acceptable qual	lity are generated	through this projec	et.				
Subtask 2.1	TWRI, with inpu	t from the TTU-L	LRFS, will develop	a QAPP for active	vities in Task 5 co	nsistent with the			
	most recent versi	ons of <i>EPA Requ</i>	irements for Qual	ity Assurance Proj	iect Plans (QA/R-	5) and the			
	TSSWCB Environ	ımental Data Qu	ality Management	Plan. All monitor	ing procedures ar	nd methods			
				guidelines detailed					
	Quality Monitori	ng Procedures, V	olume 1: Physical	l and Chemical Mo	onitoring Methods	s for Water,			
				ods for Collecting		C			
	C	,	, <u>-</u>	cy with Title 30, 0					
				atory Accreditation					
			-	onal Environment	al Laboratory Acc	creditation			
			shall be required w						
	Start Date		Month 1	Completion 1		Month 3			
Subtask 2.2			* *	QAPP. TWRI wi	ll submit revision	s and necessary			
	amendments to the	ne QAPP as neede	ed.						
	Start Date		Month 3	Completion 1	Date	Month 24			
Deliverables	QAPP approved by TSSWCB and EPA in both electronic and hard copy formats								
	 Approved re 	visions and amer	ndments to QAPP,	as needed					
	Data of know	wn and acceptable	e quality as report	ed through Task 5					

Tasks, Object	ctives and Schedules								
Task 3	Support and Excilitation of WDD Implementation								
Costs	Support and Facilitation of WPP Implementation Federal \$123,115 Non-Federal \$89,378 Total \$212,493								
Objective	Facilitate continued stakeholder involvement in the Upper Llano River Watershed to ensure successful implementation of the Upper Llano River WPP and track implementation.								
Subtask 3.1	TTU-LRFS will continue to employ a Upper Llano River Watershed Coordinator (WC) to engage and								
	facilitate the Upper Llano River WCC, watershed stakeholders, and entities identified in the Upper								
	Llano River WPP. The WC will serve as the primary conduit for interaction with landowners, citizens,								
	and entities to facilitate the implementation of the WPP. The WC shall successfully complete (or have								
	already completed) the Texas Watershed Planning Short Course. The WC shall participate in all Texas								
	Watershed Coordinator Roundtables held during the project period. The WC shall be stationed in the								
	Upper Llano River watershed.								
	Start Date Month 1 Completion Date Month 24								
Subtask 3.2	The WC will assist governmental and non-governmental organizations in the Upper Llano River								
	watershed, in identification and acquisition of resources (financial and technical) to enable WPP								
	implementation. The WC, with assistance from TWRI, will actively seek and pursue funding								
	opportunities and work with partners to develop grant proposals. The WC will work with state and								
	federal agencies, as appropriate, to bring technical and financial resources to the watershed.								
	Start Date Month 1 Completion Date Month 24								
Subtask 3.3	The WC, with assistance from TWRI, will 1) evaluate and track progress toward achieving milestones								
	established in the Upper Llano River WPP; and 2) work with LCRA to assess water quality data								
	collected through the Clean Rivers Program and other data collection efforts in relation to achieving								
	load reductions.								
0.1.1.2.4	Start Date Month 1 Completion Date Month 24								
Subtask 3.4	The WC will facilitate public participation and stakeholder involvement in the watershed planning								
	process, specifically by hosting meetings of the Upper Llano River WCC to provide regular updates on								
	progress to implement the WPP and seek input and recommendations on needed activities. TWRI will assist with agenda development and facilitation as needed. The WC will coordinate meetings, secure								
	meeting locations, prepare and disseminate meeting notices and agendas. Meeting summaries will be								
	prepared and posted to the project website.								
	Start Date Month 1 Completion Date Month 24								
Subtask 3.5	The WC will maintain a database of watershed stakeholders and affected parties for use in engaging the								
Suotask 3.5	public in the watershed planning process. The stakeholder group will be updated from previous efforts								
	of TTU-LRFS and TWRI in TSSWCB project 11-04, Development of the Upper Llano River Watershed								
	Protection Plan. The spreadsheet will represent a diverse cross section of Upper Llano River								
	landowners, citizens, local businesses, local and regional governmental entities and elected officials,								
	state and federal agencies, and environmental and special interest groups.								
	Start Date Month 1 Completion Date Month 24								
Subtask 3.6	The WC will attend and participate in other public meetings as appropriate in order to communicate								
	project goals, activities and accomplishments to affected parties. Such meetings may include, but are not								
	limited to, city councils, county commissioners' courts, Clean Rivers Program Basin Steering								
	Committee and Coordinated Monitoring, local soil and water conservation districts (SWCDs),								
	groundwater conservation districts and other appropriate meetings of critical watershed stakeholder								
	groups.								
	Start Date Month 1 Completion Date Month 24								
Subtask 3.7	The WC will provide information to LCRA for inclusion in the Clean Rivers Program Basin Summary								
	Report and Basin Highlights Report regarding progress to implement the Upper Llano River WPP.								
	Start Date Month 1 Completion Date Month 24								

Subtask 3.8	The WC will develop, publish, and distribute 2 semi-annual newsletters that are designed to keep landowners and entities informed of ongoing WPP implementation activities, including water quality data collection and progress toward achieving milestones in the WPP. The newsletter shall be							
	1 0	•	ners and entities in the wat					
			et Partners as appropriate. T					
	1 0	in any informational mate	rials and promotional publi	cations prior to				
	distribution.							
~ 1 1 1 2	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 24				
Subtask 3.9			lders in order to engage the					
			e all appropriate communic					
	_	1 0	d mass media (print, radio,	-				
			ate general project informars, factsheets, news releases					
			of the SLWA, will utilize a					
			takeholders. The WC will					
			priate. TSSWCB must app					
			nal publications prior to dis	1 0				
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 24				
Deliverables	Notices, agendas, mee	eting materials, attendance	lists, and summaries from	quarterly Upper Llano				
	River WCC meetings							
	• Stakeholder database,	updated as needed						
	_	attended and dates with b	rief summary of topics disc	cussed and action needed				
	included in QPRs							
		to Clean Rivers Program f						
		etters developed and distrib						
	_	•	hures, letters, 4 factsheets,	4 news releases, and				
	other promotional pub	olications, as developed an	d disseminated					

Tasks, Objectives and Schedules									
Task 4	Outreach, Education and Community Support								
Costs	Federal \$30,00	Federal \$30,000 Non-Federal \$20,000 Total \$50,000							
Objective	_	To promote involvement, provide information transfer and encourage participation in the Upper Llano River WCC and WPP implementation efforts							
Subtask 4.1	environmental outreach. WPP. The WC will wor Lone Star Healt Lone Star Healt Rainwater harve Texas Well Ow In partnership w LRFS Outdoor District events LRFS Outdoor Resource and E The WC will work with these programs to the U programs.	e from TWRI, will coordinal education efforts across the deducation efforts across the streams (Feral Hog comply Streams (Grazing Cattlesting workshop – 1 event her Network training and weight the Llano River Watersh School k-12 STEM Watersh School k-12 STEM Teacher havironmental Literacy) – 2 with the entities that administer/sper Llano River watershed	watershed, as identified i to organize the following ponent) workshop – 1 ever component) workshop – 2 ell screening event – 1 ever led Alliance workshops – ed Curriculum – 20 Separated Professional Development workshops fund these programs and to depending on priorities of the service of	n the Uppe training pronts I events ent 2 Watershorate Indepent (Water, I	ed topic events ndent School Natural t delivery of				
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	I I	Month 24				

Subtask 4.2	The WC will coordinate education and outreach activities as identified in the Upper Llano River WPP.								
	The WC will make presentations on the Upper Llano River WPP and general NPS pollution information								
	to local schools and community organizations. The WC will support, promote, and participate in, as								
	appropriate, any field days, demonstrations, site tours, or education events sponsored by AgriLife								
	Extension, USDA-NRCS,	and/or SWCDs for the Up	pper Llano River Watershed	d.					
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 24					
Deliverables	Notices, agendas, mee	eting materials, attendance	lists, and summaries from	6 workshops, field tours,					
	demonstrations, site tours, 20 educational events offered and 4 educational events attended.								
	Presentations given to	local schools (4), commun	nity organizations (2), ager	ncies (2) or professional					
	organizations (4).								

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedul	es						
Task 5	Effectiveness Mo	onitoring						
Costs	Federal	\$24,000	Non-I	Federal	\$16,000	Total		\$40,000
Objective	To monitor water	quality be	nefits of WPP	implemen	tation efforts			
Subtask 5.1	Routine Water Q	uality Mon	itoring – Upon	approval	of the project QA	PP, the T	TU-LRF	S will conduct
	routine, monthly,	ambient w	ater quality mo	onitoring	at 8 locations thro	ughout th	ne Upper	Llano watershed
	over the course o	f at least 14	4 months. Samp	oling will	include routine fie	eld paran	neters (Te	emp, pH, DO,
	conductivity, and	conductivity, and flow) and field observations.						
	Start Date Month 6 Completion Date Month 24							Month 24
Subtask 5.2	Data Management – TTU-LRFS will conduct data management and will maintain a master database for							
	all water quality data collected through this project. TTU-LRFS will import routine water quality							
	monitoring data into the database and transmit data to TCEQ for inclusion in SWQMIS.							
	Start Date Month 6 Completion Date Month 24							Month 24
Subtask 5.3	Water Quality Data Assessment – TTU-LRFS and TWRI will conduct a water quality data assessment							
	to reveal trends in water quality over the course of the project, track impacts of implementation, and aid							
	in further identification of areas of concern in the watershed.							
	Start Date	;	Month 6	<u> </u>	Completion I	Date]	Month 24
Deliverables	Electronic monitoring data files transmitted to TSSWCB and data included in SWQMIS							
	Water quality trend analyses and graphics							

Tasks, Objectives and Schedules								
Task 6	Volunteer Monitoring							
Costs	Federal \$6,000 Non-Fe			\$4,000	То	tal	\$10,000	
Objective	To monitor water q	uality benefits	of WPP implemen	ntation efforts				
Subtask 6.1	Volunteer Coordina	tion and Train	ing – Upon receip	t of funding notific				
	the organization and							
	programs A training		•			appropria	ite will become	
	trainers as well so t	hat any new in						
	Start Date		Month 6	Completion 1			Month 24	
Subtask 6.2	Volunteer Monitori							
	the watershed in pa			•				
	temperature, pH, D	•	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-			
	enumeration. Volum		•					
	recorded and include flow level, algae cover, water color, water clarity, water surface, water conditions, water odor, present weather, days since last significant precipitation, rainfall accumulation, and stream							
	velocity. Data collection and sample analysis will be conducted as described in the <i>Texas Stream Team</i>							
	Water Quality Monitoring Manual and QAPP. Stort Data Month 6 Completion Data Month 24							
0.1.1.60	Start Date Month 6 Completion Date Month 24							
Subtask 6.3	The state of the s							
	recording, validating and submitting data into the Texas Stream Team database. Additionally, these data will be incorporated into the watershed specific database developed by and housed at TTU-LRFS as							
	will as the Stream Team's database and dataviewer. Volunteer monitoring data will not be sent to							
	TCEQ for future water body assessment purposes, but will instead be utilized by the watershed							
	coordinator for directing future BMP assessments and performance evaluations.							
	Start Date	cuing future Br	Month 6	Completion 1			Month 24	
Subtask 6.4	15 111 1 1111	Assessment –						
	Water Quality Data Assessment – TTU-LRFS and TWRI will conduct a water quality data assessment to reveal trends in water quality over the course of the project, track impacts of implementation, and							
	in further identification of areas of concern in the watershed.							
	Start Date		Month 6	Completion 1	Date		Month 24	
Deliverables	Volunteer more	itors organized	d and trained	•				
	 Data submitted to the Texas Stream Team and TWRI for data management Volunteer water quality monitoring data trend analyses and graphics 							

Project Goals (Expand from Summary Page)

- Facilitate and continue implementation of the Upper Llano River WPP and foster coordinated assistance activities between the Cities, Counties, TSSWCB, local SWCDs, NRCS, and members of the Upper Llano River WCC by providing a local presence in the Upper Llano River Watershed.
- Conduct Upper Llano River WCC meetings to provide updates on progress, seek stakeholder input and recommendations on needed activities, and encourage citizen participation.
- Support and facilitate the Upper Llano River WCC in developing proposals to acquire funding for implementation of management measures, managing and tracking implementation projects as well as facilitating education programs in order to encourage adoption of BMPs.
- Work with state and federal agencies, as appropriate, to bring technical and financial resources to the Upper Llano River watershed.
- Track and document implementation efforts to assess progress toward achieving milestones established in the WPP.
- Coordinate and conduct water resources and related environmental outreach/education efforts across the watershed, by developing publications, website content to promote and communicate watershed efforts, organizing training programs, and by participation in local community clean up events.

Measures of Success (Expand from Summary Page)

- Provide technical assistance to the Upper Llano River WCC and stakeholders through identification and acquisition of resources, seek and pursue funding opportunities, and develop grant proposals
- Evaluate progress toward achieving milestones in the WPP
- Increased knowledge of citizens, landowners and agricultural producers of management measures identified in WPP through outreach and educational efforts including training programs
- Reduction in potential bacterial contamination and nutrient loading for streams from agricultural and urban nonpoint source pollution

2012 Texas NPS Management Program Reference (Expand from Summary Page)

Components, Goals, and Objectives

Component One – Explicit Short- and Long-term goals, objectives, and strategies that protect surface and groundwater.

Long-Term Goal Two – Support the implementation of state, regional, and local programs to prevent NPS pollution through assessment, implementation and education.

Long-Term Goal Three – Support the implementation of state, regional, and local programs to reduce NPS pollution, such as the implementation of strategies defined in... WPPs.

Long-Term Goal Five – Develop partnerships, relationships... to facilitate collective, cooperative approaches to manage NPS pollution.

Long-Term Goal Six – Increase overall public awareness of NPS issues and prevention activities.

 $Short-Term\ Goal\ Two-Implementation-Objective\ D-Implement...\ WPPs\ developed\ to\ restore\ and\ maintain\ water\ quality\ in\ waterbodies\ identified\ as\ impacted\ by\ NPS\ pollution.$

Short-Term Goal Three – Education – Objective B – Administer programs to educate citizens about water quality and their potential role in causing NPS pollution.

Short-Term Goal Three – Education – Objective D – Conduct outreach…to facilitate broader participation and partnerships. Enable stakeholders and the public to participate in decision-making and provide a more complete understanding of water quality issues and how they relate to each citizen.

Short-Term Goal Three – Education – Objective F – Implement public outreach and education to maintain and restore water quality in waterbodies by NPS pollution.

Component Two – Working partnerships and linkages to appropriate state, interstate, tribal, regional, and local entities, private sector groups, and Federal agencies.

Estimated Load Reductions Expected (Only applicable to Implementation Project Type)

Because the North and South Llano rivers of the Upper Llano WPP are unimpaired and considered healthy streams, the estimated load preventions expected from full implementation of all management measures will be assessed by EDYS modeling developed during the Upper Llano WPP.

EPA State Categorical Program Grants – Workplan Essential Elements

FY 2011-2015 EPA Strategic Plan Reference

Strategic Plan Goal – Goal 2 Protecting America's Waters

Strategic Plan Objective – Objective 2.2 Protect and Restore Watersheds and Aquatic Ecosystems

Part III – Financial Information

Budget Summary										
Federal	\$	204,115 %		6 of total p	roject	59%				
Non-Federal	\$	143,	378	% of total project		roject	41%			
Total	\$	347,493			Total		100%			
Category		Federal				Non-Federal		Total		
Personnel		\$	89,281		\$	33,338	\$	122,619		
Fringe Benefits		\$	32,338		\$	11,680	\$	44,018		
Travel		\$	4,000		\$	0	\$	4,000		
Equipment		\$	0		\$	0	\$	0		
Supplies		\$	1,3	20	\$	0	\$	1,320		
Contractual		\$	51,2	35	\$	34,158	\$	85,393		
Construction		\$		0	\$	0	\$	0		
Other		\$	6,0	00	\$	0	\$	6,000		
Total Direct Costs		\$	184,1	74	\$	79,176	\$	263,350		
Indirect Costs		\$	19,94	1*	\$	6,753 ¹	\$	26,694		
Unrecovered F&A				\$	57,449 ²	\$	57,449			
Total Project Costs	\$	204,1	15	\$	143,378	\$	347,493			

- * F&A is charged over a base comprised of the Total Direct Costs minus the entire subcontract (\$184,174 51,235 = \$132,939 * 0.15 = 19,941).
- ¹F&A is charged over the TTU salaries and fringes only on the Non-Federal column.
- ² Unrecovered F&A: <u>Difference</u> between TTU federally allowed F&A over Modified Total Direct Costs (49% over TDC **including only up to** the first \$25,000 of subcontract = \$77,390.11) and the total from the current rate (15% over TDC minus entire subcontract = \$19,941.) = \$57,449.26

Budget Justificat	ion (Federal)	Tuge I For IS
Category	Total Amount	Justification
Personnel	\$ 89,281	Principal Investigator, \$77,147.31, 8.33% p/y, on years 1 and 2 (\$8,572 on yr 1) - Watershed Coordinator, \$47,208.00, 75% p/y. Both salaries carry a 3% increase during year 2.
Fringe Benefits	\$ 32,338	Employee benefits are directly charged as a percentage of salaries and wages. The rate used for summer salary for faculty is 18% plus \$591 for monthly health insurance on year 1. The rate used for the Watershed Coordinator is 18% plus \$795 per month for insurance on year 1. HI is expected to increase by 10% each year.
Travel	\$ 4,000	2 trips total: 2 trips at \$1500 each, Mileage on the 2 trips is approx. 1818 miles per trip; per diem on the 3 trips is \$300 per person, hotel costs are budgeted at \$500 per person based on previous similar trips. Additional mileage for \$1000 for in-state travel.
Equipment	\$ 0	NA.
Supplies	\$ 1,320	Workshop materials: flipcharts, remote control, pens and eraser, portable easel, heavy duty easel, self stick easel pads, flyers, handouts and folders,
Contractual*	\$ 51,235	TWRI at \$25,292 in yr 1, \$25,943 in yr 2
Construction	\$ 0	NA
Other	\$ 6,000	Stream Team travel to Junction, water quality kits 2 @ \$425
Indirect	\$ 19,941	Based on 15% of total federal direct costs, including Personnel, Fringe Benefits, Travel, Supplies, and Other. No indirect charged over subcontract.

Budget Justificati	on (No	n-Federal)	
Category	Total	Amount	Justification
Personnel	\$	33,338	Principal Investigator, \$77,147.31, 6% p/y, on years 1-2,
			Watershed Coordinator, \$47,208.00, 25% p/y
			Both salaries carry a 3% increase during year 2.
Fringe Benefits	\$	11,680	Employee benefits are directly charged as a percentage of salaries and wages.
			The rate used for summer salary for faculty is 18% plus \$591 for monthly
			health insurance on year 1. The rate used for the Watershed Coordinator is
			18% plus \$795 per month for insurance on year 1. HI is expected to increase
			by 10% each year.
Travel	\$	0	N/A
Equipment	\$	0	N/A
Supplies	\$	0	N/A
Contractual*	\$	34,158	TWRI at \$17,666 in yr 1, \$16,492 in yr 2
Construction	\$	0	N/A
Other	\$	0	N/A
Indirect	\$	6,753	15% of F&A over Personnel and Fringe Benefits. Non-Federal match from
			the subcontract issued to TWRI is excluded from the base to calculate F&A in
			this line.
Unrecovered IDC	\$	57,449	Unrecovered F&A (TTU Negotiated rate is 49% of the MTDC), so 34%

Contractual Bud	lget Jus	tification (Fed	leral) - TWRI
Category	Total	Amount	Justification
Personnel	\$	32,545	TWRI Associate Director, \$83,238 annually @ 14.583% per year plus 3% increase annually = \$25,381 TWRI Program Manager, \$74,767 annually @ 4.16% per year plus 3% increase in year 2 = \$6,314 Cell phone allowance for project calls/emails during & after business hours & travel \$35.41/month X 2yrs = \$850
Fringe Benefits	\$	8,687	Fringe is calculated at 18% of salaries plus \$647 per month Cell phone allowance for project calls/emails during & after business hours & travel \$2.95/month X 2yrs = \$71
Travel	\$	3,320	TWRI Travel from College Station to Junction throughout the project for quarterly project meetings: • Mileage (state rate), fuel and/or rental vehicle \$1,600 • Lodging: \$83/night state rate x 1 night x 4/yr x 2 yrs = \$664 • Per diem: \$46/day state rate x 2 days x 4/yr x 2 yrs = \$736 • Concur fees for booking travel: \$8 x 4/yr x 2 yrs = \$64 TWRI Travel from College Station to Temple twice a year to attend project meetings with the sponsor • Mileage (state rate), fuel and/or rental vehicle = \$256
Equipment	\$	0	N/A
Supplies	\$	0	N/A
Contractual*	\$	0	N/A
Construction	\$	0	N/A
Other	\$	0	N/A
Indirect	\$	6,683	15% of Modified Total Direct Costs (\$44,552)

Contractual Budget Justification (Non-Federal) - TWRI						
Category	Total .	Amount	Justification			
Personnel	\$	10,613	TWRI Interim Director, \$183,805 annually @ 3% in year 1 & 2.53% in year 2			
			plus 3% increase annually = \$10,613			
Fringe Benefits	\$	2,339	Fringe is calculated at 18% of salaries plus \$647 per month			
Travel	\$	0	N/A			
Equipment	\$	0	N/A			
Supplies	\$	0	N/A			
Contractual*	\$	0	N/A			
Construction	\$	0	N/A			
Other	\$	0	N/A			
Indirect	\$	21,206	The Texas A&M AgriLife Research indirect negotiated cost rate is 48.5%			
			Indirect Costs = 48.5% of \$12,952 matching funds = \$6,281			
			Unrecovered IDC = 33.5% of \$44,552 federal funds = \$14,925			